LAUC-I OFFICES LIBRARY REVIEW COMMITTEE CANDIDATES

Daniel Tsang Collection Development, Langson Library

Candidate Statement:

I have been a librarian at UCI since 1986. My library science master's degree is from the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor where I also achieved pre-candidacy in the Ph.D. program in Political Science, having earned a master's in Political Science. I earlier graduated with an AB cum laude in government from the University of Redlands.

Since earning my library science master's, I have worked in the data archives of the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research in Ann Arbor, at Temple University on an Office of Education-funded Alternatives Acquisitions Project (as Research Librarian), at the Community College of Philadelphia, Library, and at the

Free Library of Philadelphia before coming to UC Irvine exactly 18 years ago this month.

At UCI, I have remained social science data librarian as well as a bibliographer for political science, economics and more recently, Asian American Studies. I also was temporarily (for about two years) Management Bibliographer. I am a recipient of the Jackie Eubanks Award from ALA and more recently, the first data librarian to be named a Fulbright Scholar.

At UCI I have been active as LAUC-I representative to various Faculty Senate committees, including those dealing with academic freedom and scholarships. I have also served on various search committees. At CDL I have served as a resource liaison as well as on a project to digitize ethnic materials.

My experience with the UCI peer review system is perhaps the most relevant of all my activities here at UCI. Under an earlier regime, I was able to successfully reverse a no-action review decision all the way to the Chancellor and was given a merit increase. I have also served on an ad hoc review committee. I also have been a persistent advocate of transparency and fairness in the review process.

Goal:

If I am elected, I would continue to advocate for fairness, transparency and keeping this process basically a review by our peers.