Present: Joan Ariel, Jackie Dooley (recorder), Judy Horn, Steve MacLeod (chair), Pauline Manaka.

Absent: Larry Heiman, John Sisson.

### 1. LAUC-I representative to Education & Outreach Advisory Committee (Manaka)

Manaka has been designated as the LAUC-I information literacy liaison by the E&O advisory committee. She presented a draft document outlining the role she sees herself playing; she copied Cathy Palmer on the proposal but does not yet have a response. She sees LAUC-I involvement in the library's outreach efforts to the campus on this topic. She noted that Patty Ianuzzi from UCB is willing to come to speak with us about the ACRL information literacy standards. Manaka would like to include some information literacy items in LAUC-I committee charges this year.

Members raised a variety of concerns and cautions during discussion: It would be precedent setting for LAUC-I to have a formal liaison to any library committee; we should not overstep our bounds. We must be cautious about the instances in which it is appropriate for LAUC-I to take the initiative on info literacy matters, such as the proposal to invite a faculty panel to discuss issues. Some items (such as producing a pamphlet) could duplicate E&O activities. We need careful thought about the role that LAUC-I reps to Academic Senate committees might play in these efforts. What is the relationship of the faculty publications celebration to information literacy? These ideas should be put forward as ideas, not as formal steps or proposals. The University Librarians have questioned the entire premise of the statewide LAUC info literacy proposal. We need more infrastructure of program development before proposing an active agenda.

Ariel suggested that the appropriate role for LAUC-I relative to E&O may be simply an informal communications channel by virtue of Manaka being incoming chair of LAUC-I and also a member of the E&O committee. Dooley and MacLeod agreed.

#### 2. Academic Senate Committee representation (MacLeod and Ariel)

A meeting will be held in September to discuss collaboration between LAUC-I and Library Administration on this topic. The Library Executive Committee and all current representatives to Senate committees will attend. The draft document on this topic will be discussed at the August membership meeting.

The group concurred that the list of key committees whose work most affects the library is a good one. Manaka argued for adding the Committee on Undergraduate Admissions and for having our representative be from the E&O department. We recognize that a key issue is potential tension between these representatives representing LAUC-I vs. being operational

representatives of the library. It is desirable that the reps enhance the operational effectiveness of our representation; it is equally desirable to retain g flexibility in appointments and not to tie representation to specific library positions. We seek to collaborate with Library Administration to ensure an effective slate of reps while also turning over incumbents regularly, as is standard with faculty representation. Ariel will revise the document in accordance with the discussion.

If this proposal is adopted, in future years volunteers will be asked how they meet the desired goals for that position.

MacLeod will put out a call for interest in representing LAUC-I on the Faculty Welfare and CORCLR committees. Gerry Munoff has suggested Lorelei Tanji for the latter.

# 3. LAUC-I representatives to statewide groups (MacLeod)

Names of potential librarians who might be encouraged to volunteer were discussed.

## 4. Information Literacy Strategy (MacLeod)

Send any ideas for the membership meeting to MacLeod and Manaka before 16 August.

## 5. Reaction to last General Membership meeting

General reaction to the last meeting was shared.

6. Agenda for LAUCI General Membership meeting, August 12, 1-3pm, SL104