

General Membership Meeting 05/05/2020

05 May 2020

LAUC-I General Membership Meeting Agenda

Tuesday 5/5/2020

12:00 pm – 1:30 pm

Location: <https://uci.zoom.us/my/annetteb>

Attendees: Annette Buckley; Audra Yun; Christopher Thomas; Julia Gelfand; Kathryn Kjaer; Nicole Carpenter; Becky Imamoto; Christina Tsou; Cynthia Johnson; Madelynn Dickerson; Brian Williams; Carrie Cullen; Danielle Kane; Derek Quezada; Ellen Augustiniak; Jenna Dufour; Jharina Pascual; Jimmy Pak; John Renaud; John Sisson; Josh Hutchinson; Jolene Beiser; Kevin Ruminson; Michell Brown; Nicole Arnold; Richard Cho; Sarah Wallbank; Sara Heimann; Scott Stone; Stacy Brinkman; Susan Groux; Vicki Grahame; Wanda Jazayeri; Ying Zhang

- Meeting began 12:05 pm
- [2 min] Announcements
 - DSS DH/History Librarian recruitment has been launched
 - New librarians: Sara Heimann and Jimmy Pak
 - Another LAUC-I General Meeting on June 11, 2020
- [2 min] Approve General Membership, 2/22/20 Meeting Minutes
 - Link to meeting minutes: [General Membership Meeting 02/12/2020](#)
 - Motion by Nicole Arnold; Second by Brian Williams
 - Minutes are approved 12:08 pm
- [5 min] Budget/HR Update: Kevin Ruminson, Admin AUL
 - We've had to adjust toward a completely virtual process with LRC, looking great
 - On recruitment side, first ever virtual interview for a librarian yesterday (May 4) - went really smoothly
 - Another interview for this position next week
 - We have a few staff positions we are re-engaging with, since all recruitments are going through a campus review committee
 - Budget update
 - UCI Libraries Town Hall on Thursday will have more details
 - UC discussion on tuition increase has been thrown for a loop; governor's revised budget should be coming out in a week or so. Expecting tuition increase to be off the table. Expecting a flat budget for the UC.
- [5 min] Leadership Council Update: Kevin Ruminson, Admin AUL
 - Pro/con conversation about moving to semesters; no longer a priority due to COVID
 - Looking at SILS governance; decided we were not going to be a Vanguard institution
 - For a while during closure, Leadership Council was meeting weekly; we are now back to regular schedule
 - Now looking at re-opening scenario planning
- [5 min] Law Library update: Amy Atchison, Deputy Director
 - Looking at phased re-opening
 - Everyone focused on COVID operations
- [10 min] Details about the LAUC-I & LAUC Statewide Elections from John Sisson
 - LAUCI
 - We are looking for VC/Chair elect; Secretary; Member at Large; LRC rep (1); RPDC (2); PC (2)
 - You are welcome to send your names to John Sisson
 - Need to finalize slate by June 11 (hence us having another LAUCI member meeting in June)
 - Ballot will be sent out June 22; election June 30
 - LAUC Statewide
 - No updates on this yet; as far as we know, still gathering nominations
 - Question: where is the list of committee members?
 - ACTION: Kathryn Kjaer will email LAUCI leadership these rosters so the wiki/website can be updated
- [10 min] Committee Updates:
 - LRC update –Scott Stone, Committee Chair
 - Currently doing all discussions of review via Zoom

- RPDC update –Hector Perez-Gilbe, Committee Chair
 - Hector absent
 - Verbal update from Sarah Wallbank, Richard Cho
 - Notice that someone received a travel/prof dev grant but was canceled; many conferences being canceled due to COVID
- PC update –Nicole Carpenter, Committee Chair
 - A Research Toolkit went live, thanks to Stacy Brinkman
 - Padlet created for LAUCI member input on "Just ___ Something" <https://padlet.com/ncarp/lauci>
- [10 min] Academic Senate Reports
 - Carpenter: Lots of conversations, seeing what's happening at other UCs about campus re-opening scenarios
 - Beiser: Sub-committee on Courses - because everything is online, no need to submit course online form
 - Brinkman: Council on ... and Student Experience - Dennin's unit reports via email; concern about opening new sections of online learning in the summer anticipating that enrollment may be down in the Fall. Students are struggling on campus - the fact there isn't a unified system for financial stuff on campus is an issue. Housing contracts being canceled; UCI worked with Irvine Company but there wasn't interest in this.
 - Zhang: discussion in April was last meeting; discussion of undergraduate major/minor course offerings.
- [20 min] Dialog about a potential new LAUC-I Standing Committee, to be explained and discussed during this meeting
 - Resulting from Librarians and Professional Involvement group's findings/report
 - Danielle Kane, former Chair, charged a group to write the recommendation
 - How do we carve out time, fit into our schedules, this sort of work?
 - Feedback and discussion
 - Gelfand: Likes the idea; is this too complicated? Accomplishments should be finished products. Celebrate growth; can it be structured so that this information is given to the Communications team? Recognition - what already exists, and what are processes to do this already?
 - Kane: likes the idea
 - Carpenter: do other UC campuses have a committee like this?
 - Hutchinson: I have experience with RPDC, PC, this fits the frame of these groups but not directly into them; it would be good to have this called out as an important task
 - Stone: In theory it's a good idea, could this be worked into RPDC/PC charges? To meet the changing needs of the organization?
 - Buckley: it would be great to have pages on the LAUCI website that Communications could "cherry pick" from, to highlight everything that is being accomplished
 - Ruminson: we are always interested in success stories, would be a great opportunity to create a repository of success stories, and that could be a source for additional stories for Communications
 - Brown: Publications uploader tool in eScholarship allows for librarian publications to show up there
 - Buckley: the idea of promoting/celebrating achievements could be incorporated into existing committees, but my minor concern is - would those committees be too big to be able to handle it, would they be overwhelmed?
 - Hutchinson: In RPDC/PC, we've thought about these questions as a possible goal/activity. But because not part of the charge, it never reached fruition because it wasn't a huge priority.
 - Buckley: we don't know if there are other UC campuses with committees like this
 - Ruminson: may be a double-edged sword; you get a committee to help, but you also need people to serve on it
 - Gelfand: think about adding an additional member to these two committees and revise the charge with this being a priority of each committee. Also think about to whom are we promoting this information, internally or externally?
 - Brown: we are interested in what we are doing, finding it difficult to motivate colleagues to share what they are doing
 - Buckley: if we have a portal/website where someone can get a sense for smaller things people are embarking on, things in process, we can find opportunities to partner and collaborate.
 - Zhang: consider the amount of outcomes to publicize and recognize, and adequate workload for the proposed committee

- Buckley: we are doing a lot of work, it's a perennial challenge to track our accomplishments, so if we move this work into other committees, will people not bother to run for those committees? Are people interested in survey?
- General consensus: yes, create a survey to assess how people want to move forward
- ACTION: Buckley to create a member survey



-
- Move to adjourn by Sisson; second by Arnold
- Meeting adjourned 1:11 pm