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**Council/Committee Name (acronym):** Council on Planning and Budget (CPB)

**Council/Committee Charge:**

1. Confer with and advise the [Chancellor](http://www.chancellor.uci.edu/), [Provost and Executive Vice Chancellor](http://www.evc.uci.edu/), and campus administrative units on matters of planning, budget, and resource allocations on both short and long-term bases.
2. Initiates studies in planning and budget matters and, if necessary to accomplish such studies, authorizes establishment of ad hoc committees to carry out investigations and make reports.
3. Maintains an active relationship with the systemwide [University Committee on Planning and Budget (UCPB)](http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/senate/committees/ucpb/)   through its UCPB representative.
4. Represent the Council at other Administrative and Senate meetings: Academic Planning Group (APG), Budget Work Group (BWG), Campus Physical and Environmental Committee (CPEC), Design Review Team, Enrollment Council, Small Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee, and Space Planning Team.

**Link to Council/Committee Website**: <http://senate.uci.edu/committees/councils/council-onplanning-and-budget-cpb/>

**Frequency of Meetings:** during the academic year, bi-weekly for 2 hours. CPB met twelve times and was cancelled four times in 2018/19.

**Major Activities:** CPB’s work is confidential. Routine business is to make sure that sufficient information justifies any of the following decisions:

* Name changes on campus to departments, programs, units (ex: Linguistics to Language Sciences)
* New degree programs – financial responsibilities to encourage adequate support – library is routinely asked to comment on needed resources
* Endowed Professorships and Chairs
* Focus is on making sure that sufficient resources support campus operations and functions. Coordination with system-wide and across other campuses

New and revised academic programs were reviewed throughout the year, as were local and system-wide proposals that might impact the budget or academic planning.

**Other Issues:** In addition to reviewing new graduate and undergraduate programs and changes in academic programs, the Committee discussed a number of issues around Self-Supporting Graduate Professional Degree Programs (SSGPDPs) including the long term financial viability of SSGPDPs, how revenue from the programs is allocated, how program reviews are conducted, whether and how SSGPDP students should have access to campus services, and various relevant campus and systemwide policies. Additionally, The Committee considered issues around potential new program models including online-only undergraduate programs and undergraduate/graduate hybrid (4+1) degree programs. The Committee also addressed issues related to implementation of Composite Benefit Rates and policies and recommendations for the allocation of endowment funds for endowed chairs.