1. Agenda review

2. Approve minutes: LAUC-I General Membership Minutes for February 2
   ♦ Nov 4, 2009 minutes approved as amended.
   ♦ Feb 2, 2010 minutes approved with corrections.

3. News/Announcements
   ♦ Welcome Lucia Diamond, LAUC President.
   ♦ LAUC-I Rep change on Research and Professional Development Committee change.
     • Tomren previously on committee; due to by-law conflict she had to step down.
   ♦ Systemwide LAUC Information available on wiki and blog
     • LAUC assembly blog
       o LAUC-I has been productive, other campuses also contributing.
       o Comments can be anonymous, please contribute comments. Comment till your heart’s content.
       o If you’d like to make an entry for LAUC-I let Peterman know or talk to Phoebe Ayers (LAUC Web Manager) or Mitchell Brown.
       o Peterman, Tomren and Brown have commented.
     • LAUC home page, 2 new things:
       o The LAUC wiki has 2010 reports put out by each campus division chairs detailing the happenings on each campus; UCI and UCB have not submitted yet.
       o Link to bibliography being used in blog entries so far.
   ♦ LAUC Resolution to Support California School Libraries and Librarians
     • A lot of the source material is coming from the bibliography.
     • LAUC drafted resolution to submit to State Board of Education, Secretary of Education, etc., as well as to Mark Yudof and Dan Greenstein. We can share with local school librarians, local school districts, as well.
     • Message: School libraries and librarians are necessary.
       o There are districts that are closing their school libraries, have no librarians in the district.
     • The resolution is long, has nice citations. LAUC Exec Board decided to go ahead and put it forward, though there is still time to comment for another few days. Email Lucia Diamond with comments luciadiamond@gmail.com .
   ♦ Other systemwide information (Lucia Diamond).
     • Interesting to note that Dan Greenstein came and talked to her library yesterday and said he is committed libraries and librarians, but likes to throw out controversial issues and see what happens. After the meeting everyone was depressed about the future of the libraries. Facing $4 billion hole by 2020 if things don’t change. 61% of UC students – undergrad and grad – are in classes of 50 people or more; the grad students skew this because they’re in smaller classes. This says something about the quality of the education UC undergrads are getting. Greenstein note that
while we’ve had incremental budget cuts year after year and we’ve managed it, we’re seeing the overall trend of much less support for public education, a trend happening worldwide except China and India. We need to shift the paradigm of how we operate and how we do what we do. No one has been able to do this so far. Diamond inferred that we’re moving into mediocrity if we don’t change the way we do things.

- Texas is putting oil money into higher education; they see this as an opportunity to surpass California in higher education funding and quality.

4. LAUC Systemwide President chat

- Mitchell Brown is running for LAUC Vice-President/President-Elect.
- This year has been difficult; being President she thought it would mean she could do stuff with her ideas; she’s been struggling with UCOP to do anything, even to bring someone to a meeting in Oakland means starting the process months ahead of time and tons of paperwork. She never thought she’d be an Admin Assistant but that’s what she does as President.
- Had to do a budget for the first time this year, and had to do last year’s too, as well as prepare for the budget for next year.
- UCOP going through massive personnel change, organizational structure change, so difficult to get anything done – no backups for vacations, sick leaves, etc.
- Moving forward on a few things, though.
  - Diamond wants librarians to be able to connect more across campuses, especially for those not on systemwide bib groups. Technology doesn’t talk to each other across campuses until now. The blogging across campuses is new and she’s happy that the wiki and blog are working as collaborative space. Thanks to Phoebe Ayres for setting them up.
  - LAUC Position Paper 5: role of the academic librarian at UC. General statement about what we do; as roles and jobs change we need to be a more flexible entity.
    - That part of the wiki is supposed to be a resource to turn to when we have questions about the future, i.e. about writing future job descriptions.
    - The Professional Governance Committee is charged with pulling everything together and writing a paper out of all the discussions.
- Wilson notes that there will be only one LAUC assembly from now on, and would like to know what Lucia thinks about this and the new organization of LAUC.
  - Because of the budget, even LAUC had to cut back even though there’s not much funding to begin with; at one point the whole budget was taken away. This year UCOP said LAUC could only have one assembly – they’re expensive, about $10,000 with travel and hotel costs. Also pay invited guest speakers, though this year the speakers were from Oakland so there was no travel other than BART.
  - She agrees the assemblies are a good opportunity for librarians to get together and talk and get to know each other.
  - The Southern Regional Meeting tomorrow is an opportunity for south campuses to get together; the LAUC assembly being at UCB last fall meant a lot of southern campus librarians couldn’t attend.
  - Not paying for delegates from northern campuses to attend the Southern Regional Meeting, but trying to organize a similar meeting up north.
  - One assembly means there is only one opportunity to act on business, so LAUC Exec Board is going to have to conduct business separately without the input of delegates, which is unfortunate and they’re trying to figure out how to deal with that.
    - The delegate role is diminishing.
Murphy asks what the LAUC budget is and how it is spent.
- On assemblies and to pay representative’s travel expenses, but fewer and fewer are having face to face meetings.
  - R&PDC didn’t meet in person this year.
- Budget has remained static for many years. About $22000, something in that range, rather small. On other hand, if we had something that cost money that we wanted to do we could go to Dan Greenstein’s office and request money and he might fund it. Assembly by teleconference was a possibility last year and the ULs were willing to fund it (Fall 09).
- Research grants are separate.
  - The money does flow through LAUC but it’s not part of the budget.
- If other questions, send them to Lucia. She’ll be here tomorrow too.

5. Library HR Update  Sunday
- No current librarian recruitments
- Staff recruitment underway.
- Second year of campuswide hiring freeze.
- Revised Academic Personnel Manual will be available online on July 1; Kjaer will supply link when ready.

6. Library budget  Sunday
- Finishing up FY in good shape, $4 million cut incorporated without layoffs; cuts taken through attrition, vacancies, and cuts to book budget.
- Small purchases coming at end of FY via TEBI process.
- Balancing the budget and determining how much carry-forward into the next FY is difficult.
- One time funds will be cut again.
- Woo asks if there is anything to report about the law library.
  - This budget and HR discussion doesn’t apply to Law Library because the Law Library budget is through dean of law school.
  - Law Library has completed its current recruitments.
- Campuswide review of library underway (Strategic Planning for the Libraries Task Force): 8 specific areas of the libraries are being reviewed. Recommendations will go to the Provost this month, and we expect that the Provost will decide what to act upon in June.
  - Comments should be shared with members of the Task Force.
  - Peterman asks where the recommendations are now.
    - Still being formulated by subcommittees.
    - We don’t know whether recommendations will be made public prior to the Provost making decisions about which to act upon.

7. UC Southern Regional Meeting information  Peterman
- Time, location, themes, logistics, volunteering, tags (LAUCsocal10, UCI South Regional Assembly)
  - 10 am to 3 pm.
  - Located at Cross Cultural Center in Dr. Joseph White conference room on ground level (which is the floor below the floor you enter on).
  - Still looking for facilitator and recorder volunteers (Brown knows someone who will volunteer).
  - Tags: LAUCsocal10 for twitter and flickr; UCI South Regional Assembly also for flickr and for blog entries.
  - Consider checking out digital camera from the MRC.
• 71 attendees are registered.
• Themes are prepared.
• None of the groups will be larger than 10 people; themes can go off in any direction; value measures are important, along with an idea of what everyone wants to talk about. We will be voting on stuff we like at the end of the day.

8. LAUC-I Standing committees update

♦ Academic Librarianship Committee (ALC) Andrews
  • Andrews is chair, also C. Johnson, Shulman and Zhang.
  • Charge is to do things related to academic status and professional status of librarians.
  • Implementation task was something on the future of librarians, so committee has been working on the Southern Regional Meeting.
    o Volunteers for facilitator and recorder will get an email and will meet at 9 am, an hour before the meeting starts.
  • Other items
    o Planning a lunch time program for Library School Interest Group about who to get involved in professional organizations.
      ▪ Met with Library School Interest Group in March; consists of staff interested in or currently attending library school
      ▪ One of traditional tasks of ALC is to work with the recruitment of new librarians; met with the IG to get an idea of what the committee could to do help them.
      ▪ Members expressed an interest in mentoring, resume and cover letter review, help getting started in professional organizations.
      ▪ ALC will work on a list of librarians who are interested in being mentors.
    o Planning a table at the May 19 student picnic.
    o Peterman: ALC planning a panel with law librarians?
      ▪ On hold for moment; though working on it. Maybe later in the summer after final Law Library staff member arrives in Spring.

♦ Librarian Review Committee (LRC) Peterman for Novak
  • Novak not in attendance.
  • On target and on time.
  • Committee consists of Novak, Powell, Tsang, Sisson

♦ Research and Professional Development Committee (R&PDC) Tomren for Light
  • Light not in attendance.
  • Committee consists of Light, Allison, Smyth, Tomren.
  • Names of those awarded grants forthcoming.
  • Started a wiki for the committee, other useful tools like a calendar.
    o Next step is to focus on building up content on wiki and blog and keeping the calendar updated and populated.
    o Committee members will send messages to all of us so we know what we need to do, i.e. to submit to eScholarship.

♦ Program Committee (PC) Johnson
  • Committee consists of Imamoto, R. Johnson, McEwan, Woodside
    o Woodside and McEwan continue on committee in next FY; Imamoto and Johnson cycling off.
• Focusing on Southern (Non-Assembly/Disassembly) Regional meeting
  o Set-up is happening at 9 am.
• Two other timely topic ideas – one this year, one probably next FY:
  o Strengths assessment workshop
  o How funding flows throughout the university, sources of funding, where money comes from and how it’s dispersed; understanding how the money flows so that we can better take advantage of any opportunities related to that.

♦ Nominating and Elections Committee
  Brown
  • As past chair Brown is Chair of this committee; other members are Murphy and Bube.
  • Slate for elections still pending, not complete yet.
  • Message for self nomination going out soon.
  • Other positions for senate faculty positions will come open soon.
  • LAUC statewide ballot is set – Brown is running for Vice-President/President-Elect.
  • Ballot will be posted to wiki with bios for candidates
  • Electronic ballot again this year
  • Election Day is June 3
    o Ballot will be open for one week before June 3, and must close by 4:30 pm June 3.
  • Will have feature for write-in candidates again this year.
  • Committee members other than Brown will report election results since Mitchell is on ballot; results will also will be sent to Sibert to report results to LAUC Secretary.
  • Murphy notes that they are waiting for candidates to confirm whether they can run.
  • Nominating slate needs to be presented to LAUC-I membership prior to ballot being open according to bylaws – how to deal with this since slate is not yet set and there isn’t another meeting set?
    o Brown bag meeting just to do that, to get a quorum.
    o Survey open to LAUC-I membership to approve ballot.

9. LAUC-I Budget
  Ferry
  • As of March 31, 2010, balance is $2221.05.
  • Will decrease tomorrow.

10. Reports from LAUC-I Representation on Academic Senate Committees
  R. Johnson
  • A few really interesting moments on this council since last Gen Membership meeting.
    o In March reps from the Muslim Student Union came in with a list of student grievances.
    o In May reps from Anteaters For Israel came in with a list of student grievances.
    o Adjacent to these two reports, also had a faculty member come in with a proposal that all student groups that meet certain requirements would be required to have a faculty advisor, which they are not required to have right now.
    ▪ Johnson notes that student groups are looking for mentorship, and it seems a wise and reasonable thing for the university to provide that mentorship, to offer advice on how to hold certain meetings and to prepare them for likely outcomes.
      o Anti Zionist week on campus is next week.
      o Also the kindness kick off is next week.
• Committee is scattered and unfocused; they’re on the third chair in three years. Unclear if current chair will continue as chair next year as he is finishing out the term of the person 2 chairs before him.

♦ Committee on Educational Policy (CEP) Palmer

• Academic senate group charged with oversight of all matters having to do with undergrad education, even changes to courses.
  o Specific to libraries would be things like if there’s a new program proposal whether the library has the collection to support it, of course only in terms of undergrad for this committee.
    ▪ What impact will this new program have on existing resources, does the proposal give logical and sustainable plans for maintenance of resources, i.e. after grant funding runs out.
  o Attitude of committee is to not stop progress but to craft the best structure to move things forward.
  o All comments go back to proposing committee and they have to respond.
  o After committee writes a report it goes to the Cabinet for approval.

• Big issues this year have been recommendations and interim reports from university-wide task force on the future of UC and formulating responses from this group that will go to UCI Academic Senate that will then be formulated as a response from UCI to the systemwide group.
  o Looking at issues like recommendations to increase number of nonresident students as a revenue generating move; not selling those seats, but those students will pay full cost of their education and meet all entrance requirements.
  o Another proposal is to facilitate three-year-to-degree programs; more credit for high school level work to facilitate time to degree
  o Also the idea of moving courses to online education, how does it fit into overall educational mission of university.

• Another area that this group comments on is school-wide reviews that happen on a rolling basis.
  o Look at what the schools have and haven’t done to address issues that arise in reviews.

♦ Graduate Affairs Tanji

• Not in attendance.

♦ Planning and Budget Gelfand

• Committee looks at proposals and requests and issues after they come from Grad Council and CEP from budgetary and resource allocation perspective. Compare priorities and goals with other campuses and link to systemwide budget planning group.

• Issues considered this year:
  o Enrollment of nonresident students, especially foreign students, how they compare and where the cut off is for eligibility.
  o Distance education overall. Welcome the technology but the new online degree programs at other institutions tend to be less research focused.
    ▪ Whether UCI can offer new programs with existing budgets and what the impact is on some of the ratios in staffing models, like librarian to program to FTE, or to hours open or to amount of services we provide.
    ▪ Looking at 2 sets of data – for the May 1 response rate for new students, what is the distribution by major, school, etc.? Also the governor’s budget for 10/11 and whether we can anticipate the distribution to campuses.
  o Progress of billion-dollar campaign.
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- Monitoring speculation about new programs, new schools.
- Rumor that UCSD might acquire Western State Law School in San Diego.

- Only 2 more meetings this year.

**Privilege and Tenure**

- Hears faculty grievances related to privilege and tenure.
- LAUC-I member is not a voting member.
- No grievances this year, so committee has not met this year.

**Research, Computing and Libraries (CORCL)**

- Name has changed, Hughes will confirm.
- Advisory to campus CIO Dana Roode, to Office Of Research and to the UL.
- Conversations are confidential, but committee conducts reviews of research centers; discusses giving grants to schools.
  - Online learning has been discussed this year.
  - Also discussed using gmail as backend email storage for undergrads; there’s not an immediate interest in doing this for faculty and staff. Undergrads would still have UCI email, just passed through to gmail.
- Gelfand asks whether Dana Roode’s new title is reflective of the scope of the position or if he is taking on new assignments and the scale and scope of what we’re doing at UCI will change.
  - Not sure, CORCL hasn’t met since that announcement.
- Brown asks if there is a UC-wide push to integrate campus information systems for shared reporting, like timesheet collection.
  - CORCL not looking at this at this point; they’re more interested in academic computing but not administrative computing issues.
  - Kjaer notes that for staff timesheets there has been a local effort to consolidate.
    - Aside from Lucia – there are 7000 personnel systemwide that handle payroll. Systemwide there is an interest to reduce this number.
- Relationship between what CORCL does and systemwide efforts on future of computing:
  - This issue was considered about 3 years ago.
  - Dana Roode keeps this group informed if there is anything that CORCL should know.
- (Aside) Gelfand notes that when her committee reviewed proposals for the future of university, it concluded that documents that they responded to did not focus on the return on investment (ROI) perspective and that UCI/UC needs to develop a mindset about what it costs to do business as a university. There was a flaw in the drafting of those documents that it didn’t include that data.
  - Peterman: UCLA implemented a strong version of ROI; he thinks UCI should avoid ROI strategies.
  - Hughes: Other studies have been done that say that libraries can play an important role in ROI for the university.
  - Diamond: Berkeley was reviewed for operational excellence recently and the library was told that they do things well; therefore, the reviewing body didn’t touch the library.

**Subcommittee on Courses**

- Reviews the forms that are filled in for courses. These are what ends up in catalog for classes.
- Working on idea among faculty that for some classes they can put time limits for when people are signing up for classes, like allowing only majors to sign up for the first 2 weeks of registration.
• Looking at whether restriction changes require all new paperwork or not, can all those that need to be done for Fall 2010 be done now.

♦ Undergraduate Admissions and Relations with Schools
  - Undergrad admissions and concerns related to that; i.e., transfer students and nonresidents and student fees.
  - Looking at various studies, reports, statistics, to see what’s happening with transfer students compared to students who entered as freshman.
  - Discussing a report on differential fees and nonresident fees revenue being pulled together as a system instead of each campus keeping their own revenue.
  - Holistic review versus comprehensive review for admission – latter is being done now, but push is for former.
    o Shulman asks what holistic review means and what is the advantage over comprehensive.
      ▪ Not discussed yet, but it might have something to do with relying less on GPA and numerical data and looking more broadly at applicant’s background.
  - Will talk about expanding general education requirements to include earth, environmental and space science.
    ▪ Not a new requirement, just expanding the existing scope to include to give more options.

♦ Undergraduate Scholarships, Honors and Financial Aid
  - Main focus of this group is reviewing finalists that were selected by Office of Financial Aid and Scholarships.
  - Work has to be completed by May 19.
  - Reviewing the position paper of Council on Planning and Budget in implementing differential fees.
  - Looking at guiding principles on enrolling international and nonresidents.

♦ Faculty Welfare
  - Not in attendance.

11. Wrap up and Adjournment
  ♦ Announcement by Sunday:
    • Encouraged to send suggestions to Kudos Committee for staff and librarian nominees. Deadline May 15.
  ♦ Introduce Jessica Wimer and Joy Shoemaker, new to Law Library.
  ♦ Meeting adjourned at 2:37 pm.

Next LAUC-I General Membership meetings:
3 August 2010